

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/642,937	Applicant(s) NGUYEN ET AL.
	Examiner Jasson H. Yoo	Art Unit 3718

All Participants:

(1) Jasson H. Yoo

(2) Holby Abern

Date of Interview: 25 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

1-3, 6-7, 9-15, 17-21, 24-25, 27-29, 61-62, and 64-68

Prior art documents discussed:

Walker and Shulman

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed that Walker's flat rate price is determined when the parameters of the flat rate session have been determined. The fee is paid using the player's credit account. Walker in view of Shulman fails to teach the claim limitation of a tournament game card is provided to the player in response to paying a fee. It was discussed that adding the claim limitation of, "the tournament game card is provided to the player in response to paying a fee" to claims 62 and 67 will make the claims allowable. Furthermore, the withdrawn claims depend on the allowable independent claims and should be rejoined. The changes will be made in an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jasson H Yoo/
Examiner, Art Unit 3718

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)